## **Short Communication**

Pak J Sci Ind Res 1999 42 (4) 180-181

## ON GENERALIZATION OF BANACH'S FIXED POINT THEOREM

## Sushil Sharma

Department of Mathematics, Govt Kalidas Girls College, Ujjain (M.P.), India

(Received 16 November 1993; accepted 5 November 1995)

In this paper we have shown that if T be a continuous self-mapping of a metric space (x, d) such that (i)  $d(Tx, Ty) < K_1d(x, Tx) + K_2d(y, Ty) + k_3d(x, y)$  for each  $x, y \in x k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0$ ,  $k_1+k_2+k_3 < 1$ , (ii) there exist a subset  $M \subset x$  and a point  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $d(x, x_0) - d(x_0, Tx) \ge kd(x_0, Tx_0)$  for every  $x \in x-M$ ,  $k=k_1/1-k_2-k_3$  and (iii) T maps M into a compact subset of x. Then T has a unique fixed point in M.

A mapping T of a metric space (x, d) into itself is called a contraction mapping if the condition  $d(T(a), T(b)) \le \lambda d(a, b)$  with the constant  $\lambda$ ,  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ , holds for every  $a, b \in x$ .

The most famous Banach's contraction principle (Banach 1992) states that a contraction mapping on a complete metric space (x, d) has a unique fixed point.

A mapping T of a metric space (x, d) into itself is called globally contractive if the condition  $d(T(x), T(y)) < \lambda d(x, y)$  with constant  $\lambda$ ,  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ , holds for every  $x, y \in x, x \ne y$ .

Rakotch (Rakotch 1962) has generalized the Banach's contraction principle by replacing  $\lambda$  with a function  $\lambda(x, y)$  by suitably defining the family  $\{\lambda(x, y)\}$  of functions.

The paper contains the idea of the generalized contraction mapping as introduced by Rakotch (1962) and a theorem on sequence of mappings, and common fixed point theorems have been proved. Throughout this paper, (x, d) will denote a metric space (unless otherwise stated).

Definition 1.1. Let F denote the family of functions  $\lambda(x, y)$  satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $\lambda(x, y) = \lambda(d(x, y), i.e., \lambda$  depends on the distance between x and y only, (ii)  $0 \le \lambda(d(x, y) < 1)$  for every  $\lambda(x, y) > 0$ , (iii)  $\lambda(\lambda(x, y))$  is a monotonically decreasing function of  $\lambda(x, y)$ .

Theorem 2.1. Let T be a continuous mapping of x into itself such that

 $d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha d(x, Tx) + \beta d(y, Ty) + \gamma d(x, y) \text{ for } x, y \in x,$  $x \neq y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1.$ 

If for some  $x_0 \in x$  the sequence  $\{T^n(x_0)\}$  has a subsequence  $\{T^n(x_0)\}$ 

 $k(x_0)$  converging to a point  $u \in x$ , then u is a unique fixed point of T.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in x$  be arbitrary and let us define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements as  $x_n = T^n(x_0)$ ,  $x_{n+1} = T(x_n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . It can be easily seen that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  also converges to a point u in x, i.e.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = u$ .

Since T is continuous,  $T(u) = T(\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} T(x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_{n+1} = u$ .

If possible, let u and v be two fixed points in x such that  $u\neq v$ . So, d(u,v) > o.

Now we have

$$\begin{split} d(u, v) &= d(T(u), T(v) < \alpha d(u, T(u) + \beta d(v, T(v) + \gamma d(u, v) \\ &= \gamma d(u, v) \end{split}$$

which is impossible. Hence the fixed point u is unique.

Theorem 2.2. Let T be a continuous mapping of x into itself such that

 $d(T(x), T(y) < \alpha d(x, T(x) + \beta d(y, T(y) + \gamma d(x, y))$  for  $x, y \in x, x \neq y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ . Further suppose that there exist a subset  $M \subset x$  and a point  $x_0 \in M$  such that

$$d(x, x_0) - d(x_0, Tx) \ge kd(x_0, Tx_0)$$

for every  $x \in x-M$ , where  $k = \alpha/1-\beta-\gamma < 1$  and that T maps M into a compact subset of x.

Then there exists a unique fixed point of T.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \neq T(x_0)$  and define  $x_n = T^n(x_0)$ ,  $x_{n+1} = T(x_n)$ , n=0, 1, 2....... Since T maps M into a compact set, we shall show that  $x_n \in M$  for every positive integer n and the rest of the proof will follow as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.

It has been noted in Theorem 2.1 that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in M and it is easy to see that

$$d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) < (\alpha/1 - \beta - \gamma)^{n} d(x_{0}, T(x_{0})$$

$$< (\alpha/1 - \beta - \gamma) d(x_{0}, T(x_{0})$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n}, x_{0}) &\leq d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + d(x_{1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \\ &< d(x_{0}, T(x_{0}) + d(T(x_{0}), T(x_{n}) + (\alpha/1 - \beta - \gamma) d(x_{0}, T(x_{0})) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x_{_{0}},x_{_{0}}) \leq \{d(x_{_{0}},T(x_{_{0}})+d(T(x_{_{0}}),T(x_{_{n}})\} < kd(x_{_{0}},T(x_{_{0}})\\ &i.e.\ d(x_{_{n}},x_{_{0}})-d(x_{_{0}},T(x_{_{n}}) < kd(x_{_{0}},T(x_{_{0}}),\ where\ k=(\alpha/1-\beta-\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

and so from (\*) it follows that  $x_n \in M$  for every n.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2, we have the following:

Corollary. Let T be a continuous mapping of x into itself satisfying the following condition:

$$d(T(x), T(y) < k_1 d(x, T(x) + k_2 d(y, T(y) + k_3 d(x, y))$$

 $k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0$  and  $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 < 1$ , for every  $x, y \in x, x \neq y$ . Further suppose that there exists a point  $x_0 \in x$  such that

 $D(x_0, T(x) \le \alpha(x, x_0) d(x, x_0)$  for every  $x \in x$ , where  $\alpha(x, x_0) \in F$  and that T maps  $S(x_0, r) = \{x d(x, x_0) < r \}$  with

$$r = \frac{k d(x_0, T(x_0))}{1 - (\alpha + \beta) [kd(x_0, T(x_0))]}, \quad k = k_1/1 - k_2 - k_3$$

into compact subset of x.

Then there exists a unique fixed point of T.

*Proof.* In Theorem 2.2, let us take  $M=S(x_0, r)$ . Then since  $\alpha(x, x_0) = \alpha(d(x, x_0) \in F \text{ and } \beta(x, x_0) = \beta(d(x, x_0) \in F, \alpha(d), \beta(d) \text{ are monotone decreasing and, since } r \ge kd(x_0, T(x_0), \text{ we have [from the given condition]}$ 

$$d(x, x_0) - d(x_0, T(x) \ge d(x, x_0) - \alpha(x, x_0) d(x, x_0) - \beta(x, x_0) d(x, x_0)$$

$$= [1 - (\alpha(x, x_0) + \beta(x, x_0)] d(x, x_0)$$

If  $d(x, x_0) \ge r$ , i.e.,  $x \notin M$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x_0) - d(x_0, T(x) &\geq [1 - (\alpha + \beta) \{kd(x_0, T(x_0))\}] r \\ &= k d(x_0, T(x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

So the condition (\*) of Theorem 2.2 holds. Hence this corollary follows.

Key words: Banach, Fixed point, Self mapping.

## References

Banach S 1992 Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux. equations integrals; *Fund Math* 3 160.

Rakotch E 1962 A note on contractive mappings. *Proc Amer Math Soc* 13 459-465.